

MIRROR DUALITY AND STRING-THEORETIC HODGE NUMBERS

Victor V. Batyrev*

Universität-GHS-Essen, Fachbereich 6, Mathematik

Universitätsstraße 3, 45141 Essen, Germany

e-mail: victor.batyrev@aixrs1.hrz.uni-essen.de

and

Lev A. Borisov†

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1003, USA

e-mail: lborisov@math.lsa.umich.edu

Abstract

We prove in full generality the mirror duality conjecture for string-theoretic Hodge numbers of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties. The proof is based on properties of intersection cohomology.

1 Introduction

The first author has conjectured that the polar duality of reflexive polyhedra induces the mirror involution for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties [2]. The second author has proposed a more general duality which conjecturally induces the mirror involution for Calabi-Yau *complete intersections* in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties [7]. The most general form of the combinatorial duality which includes mirror constructions of physicists for rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds was formulated by both authors in [4].

The main purpose of our paper is to show that all proposed combinatorial dualities agree with the following Hodge-theoretic property of mirror symmetry predicted by physicists:

If two smooth n -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds V and W form a mirror pair, then their Hodge numbers satisfy the relation

$$h^{p,q}(V) = h^{n-p,q}(W), \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq n. \quad (1)$$

*Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

†Supported by Alfred P. Sloan Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship

A verification of this property becomes rather non-trivial if we don't make restrictions on the dimension n . The main difficulty is the necessity to work with singular Calabi-Yau varieties whose singularities in general don't admit any crepant desingularization. This difficulty was the motivation for introduction of so called *string-theoretic Hodge numbers* $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(V)$ for singular V [6]. The string-theoretic Hodge numbers $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(V)$ coincide with the usual Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}(V)$ if V is smooth, and with the usual Hodge numbers of a crepant desingularization $\hat{V}$ of V if such a desingularization exists. Therefore the property (1) must be reformulated as follows:

Let (V, W) be a mirror pair of singular n -dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties. Then the string-theoretic Hodge numbers satisfy the duality:

$$h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(V) = h_{\text{st}}^{n-p,q}(W), \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq n. \quad (2)$$

The string-theoretic Hodge numbers for Gorenstein algebraic varieties with toroidal or quotient singularities were introduced and studied in [6]. It was also conjectured in [6] that the combinatorial construction of mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties satisfies the duality (2). This conjecture has been proved in [6] for mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension that can be obtained by the Greene-Plesser construction [19]. Some other results supporting this conjecture have been obtained in [2, 5, 27]. Additional evidence in favor of the conjecture has been received by explicit computations of instanton sums using generalized hypergeometric functions [3, 20, 22, 24].

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we introduce some polynomials $B(P; u, v)$ of an Eulerian partially ordered set P using results of Stanley [29]. It seems that the polynomial $B(P; u, v)$ have independent interest in combinatorics. For our purposes, their most important property is the relation between $B(P; u, v)$ and $B(P^*; u, v)$, where P^* is the dual to P Eulerian poset (Theorem 2.13).

In Section 3, we give an explicit formula for the polynomial $E(Z; u, v)$ which describes the mixed Hodge structure of an affine hypersurface Z in an algebraic torus $\mathbf{T}$ (Theorem 3.18). We remark the following: the explicit formula for $E(Z; 1, 1)$ is due to Bernstein, Khovanskii and Kushnirenko [21, 23]; the computation of the polynomial $E(Z; t, 1)$ which describes the Hodge filtration on $H_c^*(Z)$ is due to Danilov and Khovanskii [10] (see also [1]); the polynomial $E(Z; t, t)$ which describes the weight filtration on $H_c^*(Z)$ has been computed by Denef and Loeser [13].

In Section 4, we derive an explicit formula for the polynomial $E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v)$ where V is a Calabi-Yau complete intersection in a Gorenstein toric Fano variety (Theorem

4.14). The coefficients of $E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v)$ are equal up to a sign to string-theoretic Hodge numbers of V . Since our formula is written in terms of B -polynomials as a sum over pairs of lattice points contained in the corresponding pair of dual to each other reflexive Gorenstein cones C and C^* , the mirror duality for string-theoretic Hodge numbers becomes immediate consequence of the duality for B -polynomials after the transposition $C \leftrightarrow C^*$ (Theorem 4.15). Following some recent development of ideas of Witten [30] by Morisson and Plesser [25], we conjecture that the formula obtained in this paper gives the spectrum of the abelian gauge theory in two dimensions which could be constructed from any pair (C, C^*) of two dual to each other reflexive Gorenstein cones.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank for hospitality the University of Warwick where the paper was finished.

2 Combinatorial polynomials of Eulerian posets

Let P be a finite poset (i.e., finite partially ordered set). Recall that the Möbius function $\mu_P(x, y)$ of a poset P is a unique integer valued function on $P \times P$ such that for every function $f : P \rightarrow A$ with values in an abelian group A the following *Möbius inversion formula* holds:

$$f(y) = \sum_{x \leq y} \mu_P(x, y) g(x), \quad \text{where } g(y) = \sum_{x \leq y} f(x).$$

From now on we always assume that the poset P has a unique minimal element $\hat{0}$, a unique maximal element $\hat{1}$, and that every maximal chain of P has the same length d which will be called the *rank of P* . For any $x \leq y$ in P , define the interval

$$[x, y] = \{z \in P : x \leq z \leq y\}.$$

In particular, we have $P = [\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$. Define the rank function $\rho : P \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ of P by setting $\rho(x)$ equal to the length of any saturated chain in the interval $[\hat{0}, x]$.

Definition 2.1 [29] A poset P as above is said to be *Eulerian* if for any $x \leq y$ ($x, y \in P$) we have

$$\mu_P(x, y) = (-1)^{\rho(y) - \rho(x)}.$$

Remark 2.2 It is easy to see that any interval $[x, y] \subset P$ in an Eulerian poset P is again an Eulerian poset with the rank function $\rho(z) - \rho(x)$ for any $z \in [x, y]$. If an Eulerian poset P has rank d , then the dual poset P^* is again an Eulerian poset with the rank function $\rho^*(x) = d - \rho(x)$.

Example 2.3 Let C be an d -dimensional finite convex polyhedral cone in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $-C \cap C = \{0\} \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Then the poset P of faces of C satisfies all above assumptions with the maximal element C , the minimal element $\{0\}$, and the rank function ρ which is equal to the dimension of the corresponding face. It is easy to show that P is an Eulerian poset of rank d .

Definition 2.4 [29] Let $P = [\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$ be an Eulerian poset of rank d . Define two polynomials $G(P, t), H(P, t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ by the following recursive rules:

$$\begin{aligned} G(P, t) &= H(P, t) = 1 \quad \text{if } d = 0; \\ H(P, t) &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} (t - 1)^{\rho(x)-1} G([x, \hat{1}], t) \quad (d > 0), \\ G(P, t) &= \tau_{< d/2}((1 - t)H(P, t)) \quad (d > 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{< r}$ denotes the truncation operator $\mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[t]$ which is defined by

$$\tau_{< r} \left(\sum_i a_i t^i \right) = \sum_{i < r} a_i t^i.$$

Theorem 2.5 [29] *Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank $d \geq 1$. Then*

$$H(P, t) = t^{d-1} H(P, t^{-1}).$$

Proposition 2.6 *Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank $d \geq 0$. Then*

$$t^d G(P, t^{-1}) = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (t - 1)^{\rho(x)} G([x, \hat{1}], t).$$

Proof. The case $d = 0$ is obvious. Using 2.5, we obtain

$$(t - 1)H(P, t) = t^d G(P, t^{-1}) - G(P, t) \quad (d > 0).$$

Now the statement follows from the formula for $H(P, t)$ in 2.4. $\square$

Definition 2.7 Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank d . Define the polynomial $B(P; u, v) \in \mathbf{Z}[u, v]$ by the following recursive rules:

$$\begin{aligned} B(P; u, v) &= 1 \quad \text{if } d = 0, \\ \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([\hat{0}, x]; u, v) u^{d-\rho(x)} G([x, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v) &= G(P, uv). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.8 Let P be the boolean algebra of rank $d \geq 1$. Then $G(P, t) = 1$, $H(P, t) = 1 + t + \cdots + t^{d-1}$, and $B(P; u, v) = (1 - u)^d$.

Example 2.9 Let $C \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ be a 3-dimensional finite convex polyhedral cone with k 1-dimensional faces ($-C \cap C = \{0\} \in \mathbf{R}^3$), P the Eulerian poset of faces of C . Then $G(P, t) = 1 + (k - 3)t$, $H(P, t) = 1 + (k - 2)t + (k - 2)t^2 + t^3$, and

$$B(P; u, v) = 1 - (k - (k - 3)v)u + (k - (k - 3)v)u^2 - u^3.$$

We notice that $B(P; u, v)$ satisfies the relation

$$B(P; u, v) = (-u)^3 B(P; u^{-1}, v)$$

which is a consequence of the selfduality $P \cong P^*$ and a more general property 2.13.

Proposition 2.10 Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank $d > 0$. Then $B(P; u, v)$ has the following properties:

- (i) $B(P; u, 1) = (1 - u)^d$ and $B(P; 1, v) = 0$;
- (ii) the degree of $B(P; u, v)$ with respect to v is less than $d/2$.

Proof. The statement (i) follows immediately from 2.6 and the recursive definition of $B(P; u, v)$. In order to prove (ii) we use induction on d . By assumption, the degree of $B([\hat{0}, x]; u, v)$ with respect to v is less than $\rho(x)/2$. On the other hand, the v -degree of $G([x, \hat{1}]; u^{-1}v)$ is less than $(d - \rho(x))/2$ (see 2.4). It remains to apply the recursive formula of 2.7. $\square$

Proposition 2.11 Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank d . Then B -polynomials of intervals $[\hat{0}, x]$ and $[x, \hat{1}]$ satisfy the following relation:

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([\hat{0}, x]; u^{-1}, v^{-1})(uv)^{\rho(x)}(v - u)^{d - \rho(x)} = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([x, \hat{1}]; u, v)(uv - 1)^{\rho(x)}.$$

Proof. Let us substitute u^{-1}, v^{-1} instead of u, v in the recursive relation 2.7. So we obtain

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([\hat{0}, x]; u^{-1}, v^{-1})u^{-d + \rho(x)}G([x, \hat{1}], uv^{-1}) = G(P, u^{-1}v^{-1}). \quad (3)$$

By 2.6, we have

$$G(P, u^{-1}v^{-1}) = (uv)^{-d} \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (uv - 1)^{\rho(x)}G([x, \hat{1}], uv) \quad (4)$$

and

$$G([x, \hat{1}], uv^{-1}) = \sum_{x \leq y \leq \hat{1}} (u^{-1}v - 1)^{\rho(y) - \rho(x)}u^{d - \rho(x)}v^{\rho(x) - d}G([y, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v)$$

$$= \sum_{x \leq y \leq \hat{1}} u^{d-\rho(y)} v^{\rho(x)-d} (v-u)^{\rho(y)-\rho(x)} G([y, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v). \quad (5)$$

By 2.7, we also have

$$G([x, \hat{1}], uv) = \sum_{x \leq y \leq \hat{1}} u^{d-\rho(y)} B([x, y]; u, v) G([y, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v). \quad (6)$$

By substitution (6) in (4), and two equations (4), (5) in (3) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq y \leq \hat{1}} B([\hat{0}, x]; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) u^{\rho(x)-\rho(y)} v^{\rho(x)-d} (v-u)^{\rho(y)-\rho(x)} G([y, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq y \leq \hat{1}} B([x, y]; u, v) u^{-\rho(y)} v^{-d} (uv-1)^{\rho(x)} G([y, \hat{1}], u^{-1}v). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now we use induction on d . It is easy to see that the equation (7) and the induction hypothesis for $y < \hat{1}$ immediately imply the statement of the proposition. $\square$

Proposition 2.12 *The B -polynomials are uniquely determined by the relation 2.11, by the property of v -degree from 2.10(ii), and by the initial condition $B(P; u, v) = 1$ if $d = 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, if we know $B([x, y]; u, v)$ for all $\rho(y) - \rho(x) < d$, then we know all terms in 2.11 except for $B(P; u, v)$ on the right hand side and $B(P; u^{-1}, v^{-1})(uv)^d$ on the left hand side. Because the v -degree of $B(P; u, v)$ is less than $d/2$, the possible degrees of monomials with respect to variable v in $B(P; u, v)$ and $B(P; u^{-1}, v^{-1})(uv)^d$ do not coincide. This allows us to determine $B(P; u, v)$ uniquely. $\square$

Theorem 2.13 *Let P be an Eulerian poset of rank d , P^* be the dual Eulerian poset. Then*

$$B(P; u, v) = (-u)^d B(P^*; u^{-1}, v).$$

Proof. We set

$$Q(P; u, v) = (-u)^d B(P^*; u^{-1}, v).$$

It is clear that $Q(P; u, v) = 1$ and v -degree of $Q(P; u, v)$ is the same as v -degree of $B(P; u, v)$. By 2.12, it remains to establish the same recursive relations for $Q(P; u, v)$ as for $B(P; u, v)$ in 2.11. The last property follows from straightforward computations. Indeed, the equality

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} Q([\hat{0}, x]; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) (uv)^{\rho(x)} (v-u)^{d-\rho(x)} = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} Q([x, \hat{1}]; u, v) (uv-1)^{\rho(x)} \quad (8)$$

is equivalent to the relation 2.11 for $B(P^*; u, v^{-1})$:

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([x, \hat{1}]^*; u^{-1}, v) (uv^{-1})^{d-\rho(x)} (v^{-1}-u)^{\rho(x)}$$

$$= \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} B([\hat{0}, x]^*; u, v^{-1})(uv^{-1} - 1)^{d-\rho(x)},$$

because

$$Q([x, \hat{1}]; u, v) = (-u)^{d-\rho(x)} B([x, \hat{1}]^*; u^{-1}, v)$$

and

$$Q([\hat{0}, x]; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) = (-u)^{-\rho(x)} B([\hat{0}, x]^*; u, v^{-1}).$$

□

3 E-polynomials of toric hypersurfaces

Let M and N be two free abelian groups of rank d which are dual to each other; i.e., $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{Z})$. We denote by

$$\langle *, * \rangle : M \times N \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$$

the canonical bilinear pairing, and by $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ (resp. by $N_{\mathbf{R}}$) the real scalar extensions of M (resp. of N).

Definition 3.1 A subset $C \subset M$ is called a d -dimensional rational convex polyhedral cone with vertex $\{0\} \in M$ if there exists a finite set $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\} \subset M$ such that

$$C = \{\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_k e_k \in M_{\mathbf{R}} : \text{where } \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} (i = 1, \dots, k)\}$$

and $-C + C = M_{\mathbf{R}}$, $-C \cap C = \{0\} \in M$.

Remark 3.2 If $C \subset M$ is a d -dimensional rational convex polyhedral cone with vertex $\{0\} \in M$, then the dual cone

$$C^* = \{z \in N_{\mathbf{R}} : \langle e_i, z \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$$

is also a d -dimensional rational convex polyhedral cone with vertex $\{0\}$ in the dual space $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Moreover, there exists a canonical bijective correspondence $F \leftrightarrow F^*$ between faces $F \subset C$ and faces $F^* \subset C^*$ ($\dim F + \dim F^* = d$) :

$$F \mapsto F^* = \{z \in C^* : \langle z', z \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } z' \in F\}$$

which reverses inclusion relation between faces.

Let P be the Eulerian poset of faces of a d -dimensional rational convex polyhedral cone $C \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ with vertex in $\{0\}$. For convenience of notations, we use elements $x \in P$ as indices and denote by C_x the face of C corresponding to $x \in P$, in particular, we have $C_{\hat{0}} = \{0\}$, $C_{\hat{1}} = C$, and $\rho(x) = \dim C_x$. The dual Eulerian poset P^* can be identified with the poset of faces C_x^* of the dual cone $C^* \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Definition 3.3 A d -dimensional cone C ($d \geq 1$) as in 3.1 is called *Gorenstein* if there exists an element $n_C \in N$ such that $\langle z, n_C \rangle > 0$ for any nonzero $z \in C$ and all vertices of the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional convex polyhedron

$$\Delta(C) = \{z \in C : \langle z, n_C \rangle = 1\}$$

belong to M . This polyhedron will be called the *supporting polyhedron* of C . For convenience, we consider $\{0\}$ as a 0-dimensional Gorenstein cone with the supporting polyhedron $\Delta(\{0\}) := \emptyset$. For any $m \in C \cap M$, we define the *degree* of m as

$$\deg m = \langle m, n_C \rangle.$$

Remark 3.4 It is clear that any face C_x of a Gorenstein cone is again a Gorenstein cone with the supporting polyhedron

$$\Delta(C_x) = \{z \in C_x : \langle z, n_C \rangle = 1\}.$$

Now we recall standard facts from theory of toric varieties [9, 11, 26] and fix our notations:

Let $\mathbf{P}(C)$ be the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional projective toric variety associated with a Gorenstein cone C . By definition,

$$\mathbf{P}(C) = \text{Proj } \mathbf{C}[C \cap M]$$

where $\mathbf{C}[C \cap M]$ is a graded semigroup algebra over $\mathbf{C}$ of lattice points $m \in C \cap M$. Each face $C_x \subset C$ of positive dimension defines an irreducible projective toric subvariety

$$\mathbf{P}(C_x) = \text{Proj } \mathbf{C}[C_x \cap M] \subset \mathbf{P}(C)$$

which is a compactification of a $(\rho(x) - 1)$ -dimensional algebraic torus

$$\mathbf{T}_x := \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[M_x],$$

where $M_x \subset M$ is the subgroup of all lattice points $m \in (-C_x + C_x) \cap M$ such that $\langle m, q \rangle = 0$. Moreover, the multiplicative group law on $\mathbf{T}_x$ extends to a regular action of $\mathbf{T}_x$ on $\mathbf{P}(C_x)$ so that one has the natural stratification

$$\mathbf{P}(C_x) = \bigcup_{\hat{0} < y \leq x} \mathbf{T}_y$$

by $\mathbf{T}_x$ -orbits $\mathbf{T}_y$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(C)}(1)$ the ample tautological sheaf on $\mathbf{P}(C)$. In particular, lattice points in $\Delta(C)$ can be identified with a torus invariant basis of the space of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(C)}(1)$. We denote by $\overline{Z}$ the set of zeros of a generic global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(C)}(1)$ and set

$$Z_x := \overline{Z} \cap \mathbf{T}_x \quad (\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}).$$

Thus we have the natural stratification:

$$\overline{Z} = \bigcup_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} Z_x,$$

where each Z_x is a smooth affine hypersurface in $\mathbf{T}_x$ defined by a generic Laurent polynomial with the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(C_x)$.

Definition 3.5 Define two functions

$$S(C_x, t) := (1 - t)^{\rho(x)} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap M} t^{\deg m}$$

and

$$T(C_x, t) := (1 - t)^{\rho(x)} \sum_{m \in \text{Int}(C_x) \cap M} t^{\deg m},$$

where $\text{Int}(C_x)$ denotes the relative interior of $C_x \subset C$.

The following statement is a consequence of the Serre duality (see [10, 1]):

Proposition 3.6 $S(C_x, t)$ and $T(C_x, t)$ are polynomials satisfying the relation

$$S(C_x, t) = t^d T(C_x, t^{-1}).$$

Definition 3.7 [10] Let X be a quasi-projective algebraic variety over $\mathbf{C}$. For each pair of integers (p, q) , one defines the following generalization of Euler characteristic:

$$e^{p,q}(X) = \sum_k (-1)^k h^{p,q}(H_c^k(X)),$$

where $h^{p,q}(H_c^k(X))$ is the dimension of the (p, q) -component of the mixed Hodge structure of $H_c^k(X)$ [12]. The sum

$$E(X; u, v) := \sum_{p,q} e^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q$$

is called *E-polynomial* of X .

Next statement is also due to Danilov and Khovanskii (see [10] §4, or another approach in [1]):

Proposition 3.8 We set $E(Z_0; t, 1) := (t - 1)^{-1}$. Then

$$E(Z_x; t, 1) = \frac{(t - 1)^{\rho(x)-1} + (-1)^{\rho(x)} S(C_x, t)}{t}$$

for $\rho(x) \geq 0$.

The purpose of this section is to give an explicit formula for E -polynomials of affine hypersurfaces $Z_x \subset \mathbf{T}_x$. Following the method of Denef and Loeser [13] combined with ideas of Danilov and Khovanskii [10], we compute $E(Z_x; u, v)$ using intersection cohomology introduced by Goresky and MacPherson [17]. Recall that intersection cohomology $IH^*(X)$ of a quasiprojective algebraic variety X of pure dimension n over $\mathbf{C}$ can be defined as hypercohomology of the so called *intersection complex* $IC_X^\bullet$. Moreover, the intersection complex carries a natural mixed Hodge structure. The weight filtration on the l -adic version of intersection cohomology has been introduced and studied by Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber using theory of perverse sheaves [8]. The Hodge filtration on intersection cohomology of algebraic varieties over $\mathbf{C}$ has been introduced by M. Saito using his theory of mixed Hodge modules [28] (see also [14]).

Definition 3.9 Let X be a quasi-projective algebraic variety over $\mathbf{C}$. For each pair of integers (p, q) , one defines the following generalization of Euler characteristic for intersection cohomology:

$$e_{\text{int}}^{p,q}(X) = \sum_k (-1)^k h^{p,q}(IH_c^k(X)),$$

where $h^{p,q}(IH_c^k(X))$ is the dimension of the (p, q) -component in the mixed Hodge structure of $IH_c^k(X)$. The sum

$$E_{\text{int}}(X; u, v) := \sum_{p,q} e_{\text{int}}^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q$$

is called *intersection cohomology E -polynomial of X* .

The following statement has been discovered by Bernstein, Khovanskii and MacPherson (two independent proofs are contained in [13] and [15]):

Theorem 3.10

$$E_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{P}(C); u, v) = H(P, uv) = \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} (uv - 1)^{\rho(x)-1} G([x, \hat{1}], uv).$$

Moreover, the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(IC_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})}^\bullet)$ are constant on torus orbits $\mathbf{T}_x$ and $G([x, \hat{1}], uv)$ is the Poincaré polynomial describing their dimensions.

Corollary 3.11 Let $\overline{W} \subset \mathbf{P}(C)$ be a hypersurface that meets transversally all toric strata $\mathbf{T}_x \subset \mathbf{P}(C)$ that it intersects ($\overline{W}$ is not necessary ample). Then

$$E_{\text{int}}(\overline{W}; u, v) = \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} E(W_x; u, v) G([x, \hat{1}], uv),$$

where $W_x = \overline{W} \cap \mathbf{T}_x$ ($\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}$).

Proof. The statement is essentially contained in [13](Lemma 7.7). The key fact is that singularities of $\overline{W}$ along W_x are *toroidal* (see [9]), i.e. , locally isomorphic to toric singularities which appear on $\mathbf{P}(C)$. $\square$

Applying 3.8, we obtain:

Corollary 3.12

$$E_{\text{int}}(\overline{Z}; t, 1) = \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(t-1)^{\rho(x)-1} + (-1)^{\rho(x)} S(C_x, t)}{t} \right) G([x, \hat{1}], t).$$

Definition 3.13 Define $H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t)$ to be the polynomial of degree $(d - 2)$ with the following properties:

- (i) $H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t) = t^{d-2} H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t^{-1})$;
- (ii) $\tau_{\leq (d-2)/2} H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t) = \tau_{\leq (d-2)/2} H(P, t)$.

Proposition 3.14

$$H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t) = (1 - t)^{-1} (G(P, t) - t^{d-1} G(P, t^{-1})).$$

Proof. Let us set

$$Q(P, t) := (1 - t)^{-1} (G(P, t) - t^{d-1} G(P, t^{-1})).$$

We check that the properties 3.13(i)-(ii) are satisfied for $Q(P, t)$. Indeed 3.13(i) follows immediately from the definition of $Q(P, t)$. If

$$H(P, t) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d-1} h_i t^i$$

and

$$G(P, t) = h_0 + \sum_{1 \leq i < d/2} (h_i - h_{i-1}) t^i,$$

then

$$Q(P, t) = h_0 \frac{1 - t^{d-1}}{1 - t} + \sum_{1 \leq i < d/2} (h_i - h_{i-1}) \frac{t^i - t^{d-1-i}}{1 - t}.$$

This shows (ii) and the fact that $Q(P, t)$ is a polynomial. $\square$

Proposition 3.15 Define $E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v)$ to be the polynomial

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) := E_{\text{int}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) - H_{\text{Lef}}(P, uv).$$

Then $E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(d - 2)$.

Proof. By the Lefschetz theorem for intersection cohomology [18], we have isomorphisms

$$IH^i(\mathbf{P}(C)) \cong IH^i(\overline{Z}), \quad (0 \leq i < d - 2)$$

and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow IH^{d-2}(\mathbf{P}(C)) \rightarrow IH^{d-2}(\overline{Z}) \rightarrow IH_{\text{prim}}^{d-2}(\overline{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $IH_{\text{prim}}^{d-2}(\overline{Z})$ denotes the primitive part of intersection cohomology of $\overline{Z}$ in degree $(d - 2)$. By purity theorem for intersection cohomology [16] (see also [14]), the Hodge structure of $IH_{\text{prim}}^{d-2}(\overline{Z})$ is pure. On the other hand, it follows from the Poincaré duality for intersection cohomology that $E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v)$ is the E -polynomial of this Hodge structure. $\square$

Theorem 3.16 *We set $E(Z_0; u, v) := (uv - 1)^{-1}$. Then E -polynomials $E(Z_x; u, v)$ of affine toric hypersurfaces satisfy the following resursive relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (E(Z_x; u, v) - (uv)^{-1}(uv - 1)^{\rho(x)-1})G([x, \hat{1}], uv) \\ &= v^{d-2} \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (u^{-1}v)(-1)^{\rho(x)}S(C_x, uv^{-1})G([x, \hat{1}], uv^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By 3.12 and 3.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; t, 1) &= E_{\text{int}}(\overline{Z}; t, 1) - H_{\text{Lef}}(P, t) = \\ &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} t^{-1}((t-1)^{\rho(x)-1} + (-1)^{\rho(x)}S(C_x, t))G([x, \hat{1}], t) \\ &\quad - (1-t)^{-1}(G(P, t) - t^{d-1}G(P, t^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Using 2.6, we obtain

$$\sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} t^{-1}(t-1)^{\rho(x)-1}G([x, \hat{1}], t) = t^{-1}(t-1)^{-1}(t^d G(P, t^{-1}) - G(P, t)).$$

This yields

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; t, 1) = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} t^{-1}(-1)^{\rho(x)}S(C_x, t)G([x, \hat{1}], t). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, by 3.11 and 3.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) &= E_{\text{int}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) - H_{\text{Lef}}(P, uv) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} E(Z_x; u, v)G([x, \hat{1}], uv) - (1-uv)^{-1}(G(P, uv) - (uv)^{d-1}G(P, (uv)^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Using 2.6, we obtain

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (uv)^{-1}(uv - 1)^{\rho(x)-1}G([x, \hat{1}], uv) = (uv)^{d-1}(uv - 1)^{-1}G(P, (uv)^{-1}).$$

This yields

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (E(Z_x; u, v) - (uv)^{-1}(uv - 1)^{\rho(x)-1})G([x, \hat{1}], uv). \quad (10)$$

By 3.15, we have

$$E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; u, v) = v^{d-2}E_{\text{int}}^{\text{prim}}(\overline{Z}; uv^{-1}, 1).$$

It remains to combine (9) and (10). □

Definition 3.17 Let m be a lattice point in $C \cap M$. We denote by $x(m)$ the minimal element among $x \in P$ such that the face $C_x \subset C$ contains m . The interval $[x(m), \hat{1}] \subset P$ parametrizes the set of all faces of C containing m . We identify the dual interval $[x(m), \hat{1}]^*$ with the Eulerian poset of all faces $C_x^* \subset C$ such that $\langle m, z \rangle = 0$ for all $z \in C_x^*$.

Theorem 3.18 Let us set $Z := Z_{\hat{1}}$. Then there exists the following explicit formula for $E(Z; u, v)$ in terms of B -polynomials:

$$E(Z; u, v) = \frac{(uv - 1)^{d-1}}{uv} + \frac{(-1)^d}{uv} \sum_{m \in C \cap M} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), \hat{1}]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\deg m}.$$

Proof. By induction, E -polynomials are uniquely determined from the recursive formula 3.16. Therefore, it suffices to show that the functions

$$\frac{(uv - 1)^{\rho(x)-1}}{uv} + \frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{uv} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap M} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\deg m}$$

satisfy the same recursive formula as polynomials $E(Z_x; u, v)$. Indeed, let us substitute these functions instead of E -polynomials in the left hand side of 3.16 and expand

$$(-1)^{\rho(x)} S(C_x, uv^{-1}) = \left(\frac{u}{v} - 1\right)^{\rho(x)} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap M} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\deg m}$$

on the right hand side of 3.16. Now we choose a lattice point $m \in C \cap M$, collect terms containing $(u/v)^{\deg m}$ in right and left hand sides, and use the equality (2.6)

$$\sum_{x(m) \leq x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{u}{v} - 1\right)^{\rho(x)} G([x, \hat{1}], uv^{-1}) = \left(\frac{u}{v} - 1\right)^{\rho(x(m))} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{d-\rho(x(m))} G([x(m), \hat{1}], u^{-1}v)$$

on the right hand side. By the duality (2.13)

$$B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) = (-u)^{\rho(x)-\rho(m(x))} B([x(m), x]; u^{-1}, v),$$

it remains to establish the recursive relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(v - u)^{\rho(x(m))}}{uv} \sum_{x(m) \leq x \leq \hat{1}} (-1)^{\rho(x)} (-u)^{\rho(x)-\rho(m(x))} B([x(m), x]; u^{-1}, v) G([x, \hat{1}], uv) = \\ & = \left(\frac{u}{v} - 1\right)^{\rho(x(m))} \frac{v^{d-1}}{u} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{d-\rho(x(m))} G([x(m), \hat{1}], u^{-1}v) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the recursive relation in 2.7 after the substitution u^{-1} instead of u . $\square$

4 Mirror duality

Let $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{N} = \text{Hom}(\overline{N}, \mathbf{Z})$ be dual to each other free abelian groups of rank $\overline{d}$, $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ the real scalar extensions of $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{N}$, $\langle *, * \rangle : \overline{M} \times \overline{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ the natural pairing.

Definition 4.1 [4] Let $C \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a $\overline{d}$ -dimensional Gorenstein cone. The cone C is called *reflexive* if the dual cone $C^* \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is also Gorenstein; i.e., there exists a lattice element $m_{C^*} \in M$ such that all vertices of the supporting polyhedron $\Delta(C^*) = \{z \in C^* : \langle m_{C^*}, z \rangle = 1\}$ are contained in M . In this case, we call $r = \langle m_{C^*}, n_C \rangle$ the *index* of C .

Definition 4.2 [2] Let M be a free abelian group of rank d . A d -dimensional polyhedron in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ with vertices in M is called *reflexive* if it can be identified with a supporting polyhedron of some $(d+1)$ -dimensional reflexive Gorenstein cone of index 1.

Recall the definition of string-theoretic Hodge numbers of an algebraic variety X with at most Gorenstein toroidal singularities [6]:

Definition 4.3 [6] Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ be a k -dimensional stratified algebraic variety over $\mathbf{C}$ with at most Gorenstein toroidal singularities such that for any $i \in I$ the singularities of X along the stratum X_i of codimension k_i are defined by a k_i -dimensional finite rational polyhedral cone σ_i ; i.e., X is locally isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{C}^{k-k_i} \times U_{\sigma_i}$$

at each point $x \in X_i$ where U_{σ_i} is a k_i -dimensional affine toric variety which is associated with the cone σ_i (see [9]). Then the polynomial

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) := \sum_{i \in I} E(X_i; u, v) \cdot S(\sigma_i, uv)$$

is called the *string-theoretic E-polynomial* of X . If we write $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ in form

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = \sum_{p, q} a_{p, q} u^p v^q,$$

then the numbers $h_{\text{st}}^{p, q}(X) := (-1)^{p+q} a_{p, q}$ are called the *string-theoretic Hodge numbers* of X .

Remark 4.4 Comparing with 3.10 and 3.11, the definition of the string-theoretic Hodge numbers looks as if there were a complex $ST_X^\bullet$ whose hypercohomology groups have natural Hodge structure which assumed to be pure if X is compact. We remark that the construction of such a complex $ST_X^\bullet$ (an analog of the intersection complex) is still an open problem.

Let $V = D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$ be a generic Calabi-Yau complete intersection of r semi-ample divisors $D_1, \dots, D_r$ in a d -dimensional Gorenstein toric Fano variety $\mathbf{X}$ ($k \geq r$). According to [4], there exists a d -dimensional reflexive polyhedron Δ and its decomposition into a Minkowski sum

$$\Delta = \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_r,$$

where each lattice polyhedron Δ_i is the supporting polyhedron for global sections of a semi-ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_i \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(D_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$).

Definition 4.5 [7] Denote by $E_1, \dots, E_k$ the closures of $(d-1)$ -dimensional torus orbits in $\mathbf{X}$ and set $I := \{1, \dots, k\}$. A decomposition into a Minkowski sum $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_r$ as above is called a *nef-partition* if there exists a decomposition of I into a disjoint union of r subsets $I_j \subset I$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$) such that

$$\mathcal{O}(D_j) \cong \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{l \in I_j} E_l\right), \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

.

Now we put $\overline{M} = \mathbf{Z}^r \oplus M$, $\overline{d} = d + r$, and define the $\overline{d}$ -dimensional cone $C \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as

$$C := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1 z_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r z_r) \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} : \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, z_i \in \Delta_i, i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

We extend the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ to the pairing between $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{N} := \mathbf{Z}^r \oplus N$ by the formula

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_r, m), (b_1, \dots, b_r, n) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i + \langle m, n \rangle.$$

Theorem 4.6 [7, 4] *Let $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_r$ be a nef-partition. Then it defines canonically a d -dimensional reflexive polyhedron $\nabla \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and a nef-partition $\nabla = \nabla_1 + \cdots + \nabla_r$ which are uniquely determined by the property that*

$$C^* := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1 z_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r z_r) \in \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}} : \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, z_i \in \nabla_i, i = 1, \dots, r\}$$

is the dual reflexive Gorenstein cone $C^ \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$.*

Definition 4.7 [7] The nef-partition $\nabla = \nabla_1 + \cdots + \nabla_r$ as in 4.6 is called *the dual nef-partition*.

We set

$$\mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_r).$$

Recall the standard construction of the reduction of complete intersection $V \subset \mathbf{X}$ to a hypersurface $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ [4]. Let π be the canonical projection $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(-1)$ the tautological Grothendieck sheaf on $\mathbf{Y}$. Since

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(1) = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_r,$$

we obtain the isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(1)) \cong H^0(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{L}_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^0(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{L}_r).$$

Assume that D_i is the set of zeros of a global section $s_i \in H^0(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{L}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). We define $\tilde{V}$ as the zero set of the global section $s \in H^0(\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}})$ which corresponds to the r -tuple $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ under above isomorphism. Our main interest is the following standard property ([4]):

Proposition 4.8 *The restriction of π on $\mathbf{Y} \setminus \tilde{V}$ is a locally trivial $\mathbf{C}^{r-1}$ -bundle in Zariski topology over $\mathbf{X} \setminus V$.*

Let us set

$$\mathbf{P} = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^0(\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(i)).$$

The following statement is contained in [4]:

Proposition 4.9 *The tautological sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(1)$ is semi-ample and the natural toric morphism*

$$\alpha : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$$

is crepant. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(r)$ is the anticanonical sheaf of $\mathbf{Y}$, $\mathbf{P}$ is a Gorenstein toric Fano variety, and $\overline{Z} := \alpha(\tilde{V})$ is an ample hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}$.

There is the following explicit formula for $E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v)$ in terms of $E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}; u, v)$ and $E_{\text{st}}(\overline{Z}; u, v)$:

Theorem 4.10

$$E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) = ((uv - 1)((uv)^r - 1)^{-1})E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}; u, v) - (uv)^{1-r}E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P} \setminus \overline{Z}; u, v).$$

Proof. Since V is transversal to all toric strata in $\mathbf{X}$ we have:

$$E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{X}; u, v) - E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{X} \setminus V; u, v).$$

Using the $\mathbf{CP}^{r-1}$ -bundle structure of $\mathbf{Y}$ over $\mathbf{X}$, we obtain:

$$E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{X}; u, v) = ((uv)^r - 1)^{-1}(uv - 1)E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{Y}; u, v).$$

By 4.8, we also have

$$E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{X} \setminus V; u, v) = (uv)^{1-r}E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{Y} \setminus \tilde{V}; u, v).$$

Since birational crepant toric morphisms do not change string-theoretic Hodge numbers (see [6]), by 4.9, we conclude

$$E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{Y}; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}; u, v), \quad E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{Y} \setminus \tilde{V}; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P} \setminus \overline{Z}; u, v).$$

□

Definition 4.11 Let $C \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a reflexive Gorenstein cone, $C^* \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ the dual reflexive Gorenstein cone. We define

$$\Lambda(C, C^*) := \{(m, n) \in \overline{M} \oplus \overline{N} : m \in C, n \in C^*, \text{ and } \langle m, n \rangle = 0\}.$$

Definition 4.12 Let (m, n) be an element of $\Lambda(C, C^*)$. We define the Eulerian poset $P_{(m, n)}$ as the subset of all faces $C_x \subset C$ such that C_x contains m and $\langle z, n \rangle = 0$ for all $z \in C_x$. We denote by $\rho(x^*(n))$ the dimension of the intersection of C with the hyperplane $\langle z, n \rangle = 0$.

Remark 4.13 The dual Eulerian poset $P_{(m, n)}^*$ can be identified with the subset of all faces $C_x^* \subset C^*$ such that C_x^* contains n and $\langle m, z \rangle = 0$ for all $z \in C_x^*$.

Theorem 4.14 Let us set $\bar{d} = d + r$ and

$$A_{(m, n)}(u, v) = \frac{(-1)^{\rho(x^*(n))}}{(uv)^r} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B(P_{(m, n)}^*; u, v) (uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - \rho(x^*(n))}.$$

Then

$$E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) = \sum_{(m, n) \in \Lambda(C, C^*)} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\deg m} A_{(m, n)}(u, v) \left(\frac{1}{uv}\right)^{\deg n}$$

Proof. By Definition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}, u, v) &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} (uv - 1)^{\rho(x) - 1} S(C_x^*, uv) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} (uv - 1)^{\rho(x) - 1} (uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - \rho(x)} T(C_x^*, (uv)^{-1}) \\ &= (uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - 1} \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\sum_{n \in \text{Int}(C_x^*) \cap \overline{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n} \right) = (uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - 1} \sum_{n \in \partial C^* \cap \overline{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial C^* = C^* \setminus \text{Int}(C^*)$ is the boundary of C^* . Since $\overline{N} \cap \text{Int}(C^*) = p + \overline{N} \cap C^*$ and $\deg p = r$, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}, u, v) &= (1 - (uv)^{-r})(uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - 1} \sum_{n \in C^* \cap \overline{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n} \\ &= ((uv)^r - 1)(uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - 1} \sum_{n \in \text{Int}(C^*) \cap \overline{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P} \setminus \overline{Z}; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}; u, v) - E_{\text{st}}(\overline{Z}; u, v).$$

By Definition 4.3 and Theorem 3.18,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{st}}(\bar{Z}; u, v) &= \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(uv - 1)^{\rho(x) - 1}}{uv} \right) S(C_x^*, uv) \\
&+ \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{uv} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap \bar{M}} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{\deg m} \right) S(C_x^*, uv) \\
&= (uv)^{-1} E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}; u, v) + \\
&+ \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{uv} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap \bar{M}} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{\deg m} \right) S(C_x^*, uv).
\end{aligned}$$

By 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) &= ((uv - 1)((uv)^r - 1)^{-1} - (uv)^{1-r} + (uv)^{-r}) E_{\text{st}}(\mathbf{P}, u, v) \\
&+ \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{(uv)^r} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap \bar{M}} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{\deg m} \right) S(C_x^*, uv) \\
&= (uv)^{-r} (uv - 1)^{\bar{d}} \sum_{n \in \text{Int}(C^*) \cap \bar{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n} \\
&+ \sum_{\hat{0} < x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{(uv)^r} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap \bar{M}} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{\deg m} \right) S(C_x^*, uv) \\
&= \sum_{\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\rho(x)}}{(uv)^r} \sum_{m \in C_x \cap \bar{M}} (v - u)^{\rho(x(m))} B([x(m), x]^*; u, v) \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{\deg m} \right) S(C_x^*, uv).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to use the formula

$$S(C_x^*, uv) = (uv - 1)^{\bar{d} - \rho(x)} \sum_{n \in \text{Int}(C_x^*) \cap \bar{N}} (uv)^{-\deg n} \quad (\hat{0} \leq x \leq \hat{1})$$

and notice that $\rho(x) = \rho(x^*(n))$ if n is an interior lattice point of C_x^* (see 4.12). $\square$

Theorem 4.15 *Let V be a $(d - r)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau complete intersection defined by a nef-partition $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_r$, W a $(d - r)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau complete intersection defined by the dual nef-partition $\nabla = \nabla_1 + \cdots + \nabla_r$. Then*

$$E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) = (-u)^{d-r} E_{\text{st}}(W; u^{-1}, v),$$

i.e.,

$$h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(V) = h_{\text{st}}^{d-r-p,q}(W) \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq d - r.$$

Proof. If we use the duality between two $\bar{d}$ -dimensional reflexive Gorenstein cones $C \subset \bar{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $C^* \subset \bar{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ 4.6, then the statement of Theorem follows immediately from the explicit formula in 4.14 and from the duality for B -polynomials 2.13.

References

- [1] V. V. Batyrev, *Variations of the Mixed Hodge Structure of affine hypersurfaces in algebraic tori*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 349-409.
- [2] V. V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3** (1994) 493-535.
- [3] V. Batyrev, D. van Straten, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*, Commun. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), 493-533.
- [4] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *Dual Cones and Mirror Symmetry for Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, to appear in "Mirror Symmetry II" (ed. S.-T. Yau), alg-geom/9402002.
- [5] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *On Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*, to appear in Proceedings of Trento Conference (1994).
- [6] V. V. Batyrev, D.I. Dais, *Strong McKay Correspondence, String-theoretic Hodge Numbers and Mirror Symmetry*, to appear in Topolgy.
- [7] L.A. Borisov, *Towards the Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Gorenstein Toric Fano Varieties*, University of Michigan, Preprint 1993, alg-geom/9310001.
- [8] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1983)
- [9] V.I. Danilov, *Geometry of toric varieties*, Russ. Math. Surv., **33** (1978),97-154.
- [10] V.I. Danilov, and A.G. Khovanskii, *Newton Polyhedra and an Algorithm for Computing Hodge-Deligne Numbers*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **29** (1987), 279-298.
- [11] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton University Press (1993).
- [12] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge*, II, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5-57.
- [13] J. Denef, F. Loeser, *Weifgts of exponential sums, intersection cohomology and Newton polyhedra*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 275 -294.
- [14] A. H. Durffe, M. Saito *Mixed Hodge structures on the intersection cohomology of links*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), 49-67.
- [15] K.-H. Fieseler, *Rational Intersection Cohomology of Projective Toric Varieties*, J. reine angew. Math **413**(1991), 88-98.
- [16] O. Gabber, *Purité de la cohomologie de MacPherson-Goresky*, rédigé par P. Deligne (IHES preprint Feb. 1981)

- [17] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Intersection cohomology*, *Topology* **19**(1980), 135-162.
- [18] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Intersection cohomology* II, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), 77-130.
- [19] B. Greene, R. Plesser, *Duality in Calabi-Yau moduli space*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **338** (1990), 15-37.
- [20] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.T. Yau, *Mirror symmetry, mirror map and application to complete intersection Calabi-Yau spaces*, Preprint HUTMP-94-02, hep-th/9406055.
- [21] A.G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and the genus of full intersections*, *Func. Anal. and Appl.*, **12** (1978), 38-46.
- [22] A. Klemm, S. Theisen, *Mirror Maps and Instanton Sums for Complete Intersections in Weighted Projective Space*, LMU-TP 93-08, hep-th/9304034.
- [23] A. G. Kushnirenko, *Polyédres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, *Invent. Math.* **32** (1976), 1-31.
- [24] A. Libgober, J. Teitelbaum, *Lines on Calabi-Yau complete intersections, mirror symmetry, and Picard Fuchs equations*, *Duke Math. J.* **69**(1): 29-39, *Int. Math. Res. Notes* (1993)
- [25] D.R. Morrison, M. R. Plesser, *Towards Mirror Symmetry as Duality for Two Dimensional Abelian Gauge Theories*, Preprint CLNS-95/1356, IASSNS-HEP-95/64, hep-th/9508107.
- [26] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry - An Introduction to the Theory of Toric Varieties*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3), vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1988.
- [27] S.-S. Roan, *The Mirror of Calabi-Yau Orbifold*, *Int. J. Math.* **2** (1991), 439-455.
- [28] M. Saito *Mixed Hodge Modules*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, Kyoto Univ. **26** (1990), 221-333.
- [29] R. Stanley, *Generalized H-vectors, Intersection Cohomology of Toric Varieties, and Related Results*, *Adv. Stud. in Pure Math.* **11** (1987), 187-213.
- [30] E. Witten, *Phases of $N = 2$ Theories in Two Dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993), 159-222.